

PRE – BID MEETING

Sub : Execution of Earthwork in cutting, embankment and construction of Minor bridges, Major bridge, RUBs and allied works in between Yesvantpur and Baiyyappanahalli and construction of 4 th line between Yesvantpur Bypass - Lottegollahalli in connection with Yesvantpur – Channasandra doubling project in Bangalore Division of South Western Railway.

Ref : K-RIDE/DL/18/2022 DATE: 10.10.2022 .

Open tender for the subjected work has been invited through e-proc Karnataka portal vide tender notice no cited under Ref. In this connection, Pre- Bid meeting was scheduled on 02.11.2022 @ 15.30 Hrs at K-RIDE corporate office and also through Video Conference. The details of officials and Bidders attended the pre bid meeting is furnished below:

1. K-RIDE Officials

- a. Shri Praveen Kumar - General Manager/Civil/Projects
- b. Shri K Ravi - Deputy Manager/Civil/Projects
- c. Shri Monu Kumar Jha - Deputy Manager/Civil/Projects

Attended at/ through

K-RIDE office
K-RIDE office
K-RIDE office

2. RITIES Officials

- a. Shri Selvaraj A - RE/Civil
- b. Shri Bhairav Yammanur - Expert/Civil

K-RIDE office
K-RIDE office

3. Bidders

- a. Sri M.B.Shanker for M/s. M.V.V Satyanarayana
- b. Sri Ramesh Babu Ambati for M/s. Siddartha Civil works Pvt Ltd
- c. Sri P Venu Gopal Reedy for M/s. Venu Gopal Reddy
- d. Sri C Venu Gopal for M/s PJB Engineers Pvt Ltd
- e. Sri Swarnaa Prasad for Swarnaa Group of Companies

K-RIDE office
K-RIDE office
K-RIDE office
Though Video Conference
Query raised via Mail

Four bidders have been participated in the Pre – Bid meeting. M/s PJB Engineers Pvt Ltd has requested K -RIDE that they would be attending pre-bid meeting through Video conference and necessary link for the pre-bid meeting was communicated to the bidder.

The queries raised by the bidders and KRIDE reply against each is furnished as under:

a. PJB Engineers Pvt Ltd

Sl. No	Query	Reply
	Query No : 1	
	Reference –Section2, Clause -03, Sub clause-3.2 (c) - Corrigendum-1-reg.,	

1	Please refer to the said clause wherein it is modified with respect to minimum component of work i.e. Cement concrete from 15,000cum to 13,600cum, Fabrication of structural steel for Girder bridge from 175MT to 42MT and added Erection/Launching of steel Girder bridge as minimum one span of 12.2 m Length.	Noted, Corrigendum1 has been issued based on the KTCP act. (The intending bidder should have executed key construction activities in any one year (in continuous 12 months) during last 05 financial years, quantities usually 80% of the peak annual rate of construction.). Erection/launching of steel girder is one of the key activity hence additional item of erection/launching is introduced.						
2	However, as can be seen from Sch' D, a provision of 858m of Dia 1000mm & 1100m of 600mm Dia totalling to 2000RMtr is made towards Providing, Driving & Installing Bored Cast In-situ RCC piles using Hydraulic piling Rig under item no. 17(a),(b) & item 19(a) and it is a specialized nature of work.	<p>Noted, RCC cast in situ bored piles is one of the key activity hence additional activity is to be added in the list of key activities under Section – II clause – 03 of sub sub – clause – 3.2 (c)... Necessary corrigendum will be issued</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="890 667 1393 929"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="890 667 1058 745">Component no.</th> <th data-bbox="1066 667 1233 745">Nature of work</th> <th data-bbox="1241 667 1393 745">Qty</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="890 745 1058 929">5</td> <td data-bbox="1066 745 1233 929">Driving & Installing Bored Cast In-situ RCC piles</td> <td data-bbox="1241 745 1393 929">1600 m</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Component no.	Nature of work	Qty	5	Driving & Installing Bored Cast In-situ RCC piles	1600 m
Component no.	Nature of work	Qty						
5	Driving & Installing Bored Cast In-situ RCC piles	1600 m						
3	<p>Whereas the Sch'G pertaining to Structural steel works has a meagre provision of 56MT & the total amount is only Rs80,45,978/- which is meagre when compared to the amount put to tender of Rs. 57.30Cr and hence M/s K-RIDE is requested to examine the requirement of the condition under clause no.2 and sub clause no.2.2 (e) wherein it is stated that "All members of the joint venture must have experience in execution of similar work". Also, it is requested to examine the requirement of related condition specified under clause</p> <p>3.9 Eligibility Criteria table/matrix, clause 3.2(c)3 Structural steel works "All partners combined must meet requirement or through Nominated sub-contractor" being a meagre quantity & the cost.</p>	<p>Noted. There is meagre provision of steel girder fabrication, launching etc. but it is one of the key activity, hence added under Sub-clause 3.2 (c) 3 of Clause 03 of Section – II of the bid document and not under the definition of similar work. Hence the question of examining the requirement of the condition under clause no.2 and sub clause no.2.2 (e) wherein it is stated that "All members of the joint venture must have experience in execution of similar work" doesn't arise.</p> <p>Noted, As the structural steel work is specialised in nature for earthwork and bridge (Definition of similar work) contractors , the contractor can get the structural steel works executed through nominated sub-contractor. Accordingly to ensure more participation in the tender, Eligibility Criteria//Matrix for 3.2 (c) has been kept as "All partners combined must meet requirement or through Nominated sub-contractor"</p>						

Query No.2:	
Reference –Section2, Clause -03, Sub clause-2.2 (e) – reg.,	
It is specified that “All members of the Joint Venture must have experience in execution of similar work”. Whereas clause -3.9 Eligibility Criteria/Matrix, it is specified that All partners combined must meet requirement or through nominated sub-contractor for clause 3.2(c) Earth work in excavation and Embankment (Combined quantities) & clause 3.2 (c)3 Structural steel works.	Clarification given above at sl.no. 3 of Query 1. Moreover, Clause 3.2 (c) is for execution of key construction activity. As the Earthwork in excavation & embankment (combined quantities) as given in 3.2 (c) 2 is falling under definition of Similar work , hence the eligibility criteria matrix for 3.2 (c) 2 shall be modified as “All partners combined must meet requirement” instead of “All partners combined must meet requirement or through nominated sub-contractor ‘.
In this regard, it is understood that “All members of JV must have experience in execution of similar work” as stated in 2.2(e) and copies of experience certificate issued by the employer shall be attached as per Form1.4/section: 3.	
In case of engaging sub-contractor, then the Sub-contractor should have experience in execution of similar work provided the experience certificate shall be from the Principle Employer and such certificate cannot be taken into in determining the Tenderer’s compliance with the Qualifying Criteria. M/s K-RIDE may please clarify.	Corrigendum will be issued accordingly.
Query No.3:	
Reference –Section2, Clause -03, Sub clause-3.2 (c) – Note 2 -reg.,	
It is specified that “the qualifications, capacity & resources of proposed sub-contractors will not be taken into account in assessing those of Individual or JV applicants, unless they are named Specialist sub-contractor”.	Specialist subcontracting: If an applicant intends to subcontract any highly specialised elements of the works to specialist contractors, such elements and the proposed subcontractors shall be clearly identified and the experience and capacity of subcontractors shall be described in the relevant information form.
To our standing “A specialist Sub-contractor must have possess additional expertise apart from qualification criteria mentioned in clause 3.2 & the employer will allow for engaging such specialist sub- contractor as nominated sub-contractor on consideration of his experience as a specialist sub-contractor so as to meet the specialized nature of works viz., fabrication & erection of structural steel works etc., as noted in clause 3.9. M/s K-RIDE may please clarify.	As the instant tender is mainly for earthwork in formation and bridges, side drains, retaining walls etc., so to have more tender participation, structural steel work is considered as specialised nature of work. Hence in clause 3.2 (c) 3, eligibility criteria matrix has been kept as “All partners combined must meet requirement or through nominated sub-contractor ‘. The details regarding Sub contractor are also available at Clause 7/CC of Particular conditions of contract

Query No.4:		
	Reference –Section3, Work Experience Certificate - Form EXP-1-reg.,	
	The work experience certificate in “Form EXP-1” shall be filled in by the respective Employer for having carried out the similar works & issue such certificates which should signed by an officer not lower than JAG officer in Railways & Executive Engineer rank or equivalent grade in other department of Govt. of India/State Government/PSUs of Govt, of India/state Undertaking and Competent Authority of Public Listed Company.	Experience certificate in FORM Exp -I is just a skeleton adopted by K-RIDE which indicate the basic details needs to be provided. However, experience certificate in any other format as adopted by the India/State Government/PSUs of Govt, of India/state Undertaking and Competent Authority of Public Listed Company is accepted which indicates the details as indicated in the Work Experience Certificate - Form EXP-1.
	<p>In this regard, it is to inform that obtaining the experience certificate in the manner in which it is required by the K-RIDE as Form EXP-1 may not be feasible since the format of work experience certificate from the employer may differ from department to department and may not agree to sign by the respective employer due to change in format and therefore requested not to insist for the same. Instead M/s K- RIDE is requested to allow the bidder to record in the Form EXP-1 as under:</p> <p>Certified that the details furnished in Form EXP-1 with respect to the award of work, scope of work, values of major components, status of work & its progress, completion etc., are true to the best of my knowledge and further certified that if later on the employer discovers that information provided in the format is in correct then the employer may treat our bid invalid & it may be liable for rejection”.</p>	
Query No.5		
	<p>Reference: Section: 3, B, Sub-clause 1.11- reg.,</p> <p>It is specified that the list of Item of work, value of Sub-contract, name & address of sub-contractor and experience of similar works shall be furnished under “Proposals for subcontracting components of works amounting to more than 20% of the contract price”. Whereas in Section-7, clause-7/CC (b) (i), it is mentioned that the “Total value of works requiring such consent for subcontracting shall not be more that 50% of the contract price”.</p> <p>K-RIDE may please clarify.</p>	The maximum value of works allowed for subcontracting shall not exceed 50% of the contract price.

b. M/s. M.V.V Satyanarayana

1	Clause 3.2 (c) 1 : Quantities mentioned for execution of Earthwork in embankment including Blanketing in Railway formation should be modified as the BOQ quantity is for earthwork in cutting as well as embankment.	Accepted, corrigendum will be issued as "Earthwork in formation (cutting & filling) including Blanketing in Railway formation".
---	---	---

c. M/s.Swarnaa Group of Companies

1	EMD payment vide Bank Guarantee, it is noticed that there is no Bank Guarantee format for EMD	Accepted, corrigendum will be issued accordingly.
---	---	---

The query raised by bidder's is hereby complied.